

OCEANSIDE POLICE DEPARTMENT

MISSION STATEMENT

OUR PURPOSE IS TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY TO BUILD TRUST AND PROVIDE QUALITY SERVICE THAT ACTIVELY PREVENTS CRIME, REDUCES THE FEAR OF CRIME, AND PROMOTES SAFETY.



I WANT TO	SPEAK WITH THE MEMBER'S SUPERVISOR.	X I WANT	TO FILE A FORMAL COM	APLAINT.
Name: WOO	DROW L. HIGDON	Date o	of Birth: 7-18-43	
Address:				
Daytime Phone:	(760) 754 – 8703 Eve	ning Phone:		
Event Date:	2001 THRU 2009 APPX Event Time: VARIABLE	Case Nu	umber: SEE DA LIST	
Location:	VARIABLE			
Name of Member	(s): OFFICER DAMON SMITH & OTHER UN-IDENT	IFIED OPD OFFIC	CERS	
PROVIDE A DETA	ILED EXPLANATION OF THE BASIS FOR YOUR COMP	LAINT, ATTACIL	ADDITIONAL PAGES AS	NECESSARY.
OCEANSIDE POLI RECORDING. THIS, COMPLAINT OCCURRING DUR 1-28-08 AND 3-1 THIS COMPLAINT SGT AARON DOY THE MISCONDUC	ON: 1.5 HOURS ORAL RECORDED STATEMENT GIVE ICE DEPARTMENT WITH SUPPORTING WRITTEN DO THE SUPPORTION INVESTIGATION OF THE FIRST BEING AGAINST OFFICER BRUSH IN THE SUPPORTION OF TH	OCUMENTATION OMPLAINTS AGA OF THE OCEANS! DATED 2-18-08. ILED THIS DATE A AMON SMITH W ILL BE SUPPLEME	INST DIFFERENT OFFICE INST DIFFERENT OFFICE IDE POLICE DEPT. BETA AGAINST CHIEF FRANK ITH THE COMMON FAENTAL OR SUPPORTIN	CERS WEEN K McCOY, CTOR BEING
POLICE CONDU EVIDENCE TO W RIGHT TO MAKE IMPROPERLY. C CONFIDENTIAL, IT IS UNLAWFUL AGAINST AN OF MONEY DAMAGE	green J. Headen, Comp	ESTIGATION T EVEN IF THAT ATED IF YOU B OR FINDINGS R FOR AT LEAS W TO BE FALS	THAT THERE IS NOT IS THE CASE, YOU DELIEVE AN OFFICE RELATED TO COMPIT FIVE YEARS. SE. IF YOU MAKE A	OT ENOUGH U HAVE THE R BEHAVED LAINTS ARE

Return completed form to:

Oceanside Police Department **Professional Standards Office**

3855 Mission Avenue

UPDATE 06-05-2006

Oceanside, CA 92054

11-3-09

Oceanside Police Department Internal Affairs Att: Sgt. Ron Hardy

Subject: Officer Damon Smith & Other Unidentified OPD Officers - Misconduct Complaint Supplemental Information

In April of 2009 North County Times reporter Terry Figueroa was covering the North County Courts and detected a case of extensive evidence tampering by Officer Damon Smith of the Oceanside Police Department. While testifying under oath in court, Damon Smith revealed he had been secretly making audio recordings of his investigations and hiding the tapes from the criminal justice system for a period of approximately 8 years.

My investigation, and the investigations of other media groups have determined that Officer Damon Smith was even fired from the police academy in 2001 for substandard performance. Damon Smith then filed a claim/complaint against the city of Oceanside and police officials alleging racial and sexual discrimination from a white, lesbian, training officer. Several months later the city and the police department reversed the firing, and re-hires Damon Smith. The OPD then put Officer Smith out on the streets as a peace officer, where he promptly started secretly recording field investigations, including criminal investigations.

The suggestion that Damon Smith made these recording just to protect himself from citizen civil suits is absurd. With the above history, any competent investigator would recognize that Damon Smith started secretly recording investigations mostly to protect himself from the Oceanside Police Department. He had already been fired once, and he did not want that to happen again without hard evidence he could use against the OPD.

Just so there is no mistake in the meaning of this complaint, we are not talking about a truck driver that went into a donut shop and recorded his donut order to a waitress.

We are talking about an experienced veteran police officer, on duty, that planned and executed the secret audio recording of multiple criminal investigations for a period of approximately eight (8) years, with the intent to hide the recording from the criminal justice system, and did in fact hide those recordings from the criminal justice system. The intent is established by the repetitive criminal conduct.

The criminal conduct is established by the District Attorneys criminal investigation and the recent release of 37 audio tapes to criminal defense attorneys, on corrupted criminal prosecutions. If there was no criminal conduct the DA's office would not have a criminal

investigation, as the DA's office is already on the record as not investigation civil issues. We still don't know how many tapes Damon Smith made and/or destroyed or hid after the fact, or the identities of the other police officer that knew about, were involved in, and kept quiet about the secret evidence tampering. That is because, as in the past, the OPD is obstructing and blocking complaints against, and the investigation of police officers.

The involvement of other Oceanside police officers beyond Damon Smith is a fact. A fact that the OPD and the DA's office have been going out of their way to avoid investigating for six months, even though I had filed multiple complaints in public city council meeting, in the presence of Chief Frank McCoy.

All of those complaints have been recorded on video tape, with sound, over the past six months. The involvement of multiple OPD officers is also a fact based on the statements of Officer Damon Smith in front of an officer of the court witness. Statements that were made the same day the secret recording scam was discovered, six months ago. The identity and contact information of that witness was provided to you during my oral statement on 11-3-09. Damon Smith was talking about how his secret recordings were "common knowledge" among the officers he worked with. So just how many police officers is "common knowledge", 5, 10 15, or more?

This attorney is a witness that was at ground zero for this investigation, and no one from the OPD or the DA's office ever contacted him for a statement, which provides additional evidence that there was never a real investigation of Damon Smith or the Oceanside Police Department. Even a basic investigator would know to start an investigation at the scene of the occurrence. That is, unless the investigators wanted to avoid primary evidence against Damon Smith, and avoid identifying the involvement of other police officers. That would help mitigate a public image scandal for the OPD at a critical time in the OPD's union contract negotiations. A time when the media had exposed the police department's involvement in recall election manipulation, not to mention the potential of \$20 to \$40 million dollars in civil liability dumped on the OPD and the DA's office by Damon Smith. Huge civil liability that would double and triple with the revelation that other OPD police officers were involved in the evidence tampering and its cover up.

This information is also been provided with complaints to the District Attorney's Office, the Attorney General, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation with other appropriate documentation

According to statements made to me, from the DA's office last week (Paul Levikow), it is the OPD'S responsibility to <u>fully investigate</u> the conduct of Officer Damon Smith. Damon Smith has not even been fired, much less arrested, or even properly

investigated. This was done to avoid identifying other OPD officers involved in the evidence tampering.

So where is the real investigation? Does Damon Smith have additional tapes that are being used as leverage against the OPD, in exchange for no termination or arrest? How many other OPD officers is Damon Smith talking about? Were any of the other officers also secretly recording investigations? Since the OPD has obstructed the investigation for six months it is highly probable that all other tapes have been destroyed and evidence trails obscured with time.

Woodrow J. Heyden Woodrow L. Higdon