

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC HISTORIC ENGINE CO. NO. 28 644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3411

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC HISTORIC ENGINE CO. NO. 28 644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3411

2. Senator Dunn's whistleblower notices identified serious ethical breaches, prosecutorial lapses, and fiscal improprieties by State Bar President Craig Holden, certain BOT members, and Chief Trial Counsel and head of the State Bar's Office of the Chief Trial Counsel ("OCTC") Jayne Kim, which were being concealed from the public.

3. The conduct Senator Dunn complained about included (1) the unlawful intentional manipulation and false reporting of backlog cases, (2) the intentional lack of prosecutorial efforts to proactively investigate and prosecute "notario" and lawyer fraud as envisioned by the Legislature in passing Assembly Bill 1159, and (3) the conflicted retention of a private firm with close ties to a BOT member in violation of State Bar protocol to evaluate a complaint against undisclosed targets and, upon information and belief, against Senator Dunn. Holden, and a small group of BOT members, hired the conflicted firm outside of established protocol, leading to a bill that is likely in excess of \$300,000.00, even though a retired California Supreme Court Justice had agreed to provide the same services pro bono as a way to give back to the State Bar. Even with this unnecessary and exorbitant cost to members of the State Bar, the BOT only decided to terminate Senator Dunn without cause.

4. Since becoming the Chief Executive of the State Bar in 2010, Senator Dunn received consistent high praise and positive performance reviews in his formal performance evaluations from 2011-2013. In fact, Senator Dunn's performance was determined to be so excellent by the State Bar that he received bonuses substantially above his yearly salary during the years 2011-2013.

5. In September 2014, attorney Craig Holden was installed as the new President of the State Bar. In May 2014, Holden was the only announced candidate, so it was clear he would be elected State Bar President. Starting in May, Holden told several people he was determined "to do something about Dunn," with the clear implication that he was determined to have him fired. The events described below gave Holden the pretext to begin a process

COMPLAINT

leading to Senator Dunn's termination. Finally, after Senator Dunn sent his whistleblower notice, Holden guided the BOT to terminate Senator Dunn, but even then only "without cause."

6. Defendant Craig Holden was installed as President of the State Bar in September 2014. Defendant Craig Holden, now a partner at Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, is an attorney that has had difficulties in previous law firms and who submitted irregular expense reports to the State Bar.

7. Defendant Craig Holden's conduct is part of an effort to usurp executive authority in the State Bar and has cleared the way for Defendant Holden to assume control over the State Bar's executive functions. Employees of the State Bar have been informed that Defendant Holden and Deputy Executive Director Robert Hawley will oversee and manage the State Bar in the "interim" period. There has been no announcement for the formation of a process to hire a new Executive Director. No previous State Bar President in history has assumed executive management authority over the State Bar, as Defendant Holden has now done. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Holden has engaged in this conduct to ultimately become the State Bar Executive Director.

8. In addition to the termination of Senator Dunn, the State Bar has recently targeted some of the Whistleblower DOES 1-7 with various degrees of discipline and retaliation because they corroborated and identified the same conduct Senator Dunn complained of and joined in the whistleblower notices to the State Bar. Under the leadership of Holden, the BOT has engaged in an effort to purge the ranks of whistleblowers at the State Bar who were aware of and complained about the conduct of the BOT, President Holden, and other ROE defendants. That purge of State Bar employees, consolidating power in the hands of Holden, is unprecedented in the history of the State Bar.

9. On Friday, November 7, 2014, at approximately 5:00 p.m., while giving a
speech for the State Bar in San Francisco, Plaintiff Senator Dunn received a termination

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

- 3 -

letter from Defendant President Holden. The termination letter demanded that Senator Dunn not speak with the press or public if he desired to negotiate a "mutually acceptable [severance] agreement."

10. Senator Dunn brings this whistleblower action to protect the public integrity of the Defendant State Bar, to overturn the illegally motivated decision to terminate his employment, and to vindicate his rights as a whistleblower.

PARTIES

11. Senator Joseph Dunn (Ret.) is a former California State Senator who represented California's 34th Senate District in Orange County, California. Senator Dunn was appointed as Executive Director of the State Bar of California on November 22, 2010. Senator Dunn served in this capacity as the State Bar's chief executive officer from November 22, 2010 through his termination on November 8, 2014. Senator Dunn is a resident of Orange County, California.

12. "The State Bar of California is a public corporation. Every person admitted and licensed to practice law in this State is and shall be a member of the State Bar except while holding office as a judge of a court of record." (Cal. Const., art VI, § 9.) Under pain of criminal punishment, no person may practice law in California unless he is an active member of the State Bar. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 6125-6126.) The Board of Trustees of the State Bar, upon authorization from the Legislature, fixes and imposes an annual membership fee upon members of the State Bar. (*Id.* § 6140.) The fees are paid into the treasury of the State Bar, and become part of its funds. (*Id.* § 6144.) The State Bar acts through the Board of Trustees of the State Bar of California. The Board of Trustees makes rules of procedure, regulates and operates the State Bar. The State Bar office is located at 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017.

25 13. Defendant Craig Holden was installed as President of the State Bar in
26 September 2014 and is also a current partner at Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP.

- 4 -

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC HISTORIC ENGINE CO. NO. 28 644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3411 Defendant Holden resides in Los Angeles County, California. Craig Holden is sued herein in
 his individual capacity.

14. ROES 1-50 include trustees and employees of the State Bar who acted in concert with Defendant Holden as well as certain government employees not employed by the State Bar.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they are residents of and/or are doing business in the State of California.

16. Venue is proper in this county in accordance with Section 395(a) of the California Code of Civil Procedure because the Defendants, or some of them, reside in this county and the injuries alleged herein occurred in this county.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Senator Dunn's Appointment as Executive Director

17. In 2009, the California Bureau of State Audits conducted a complete audit of the State Bar's disciplinary system. The Bureau highlighted the backlog reporting process and shined light on the failure of the State Bar to include all backlog cases in the annual discipline report. The report called for more transparency in the reporting of backlog cases to give stakeholders a clear picture of the State Bar's effectiveness. The Audit Report criticized: "By not reporting consistently and including all pertinent information, the State Bar is limiting its stakeholders' and the Legislatures ability to measure the effectiveness of the discipline system."

18. Senator Dunn was appointed as Executive Director in 2010, in the wake of this highly critical audit, with the directive to reform the State Bar by bringing fiscal responsibility and transparency to the State Bar's reporting obligations. The Executive Director functions as the chief executive officer for the State Bar and oversees all employees. The Executive Director is the only employee directly hired by the BOT and the Executive Director reports directly to the BOT. All other State Bar employees report directly to the Executive Director, with the exception of the head of the OCTC—responsible for prosecuting grievances in the State Bar Court—who exercises a quasi-independent function based on the unique appointment process which requires direct BOT approval and Senate confirmation. The head of the OCTC is Jayne Kim.

19. Senator Dunn succeeded in bringing important reforms to the State Bar. For example, Senator Dunn brought the investigative backlog on open complaints with the State Bar to near zero in 2011 after years of criticism from the legislature on the size of the backlog. Senator Dunn oversaw the purchase, remodel, and move in to the State Bar's new home at 845 South Figueroa Street. Working with the Chief Financial Officer, Senator Dunn stabilized the State Bar's budget with no new increase in mandatory dues under his leadership. Senator Dunn created an external relations team to proactively advance the public protection mission of the State Bar. This includes outreach to other State regulatory bodies, law enforcement, the consulate community, religious communities, labor unions, and others. Senator Dunn is also credited with substantially improving relations between the Legislature and the State Bar including launching joint town halls with other regulatory partners through the district offices of legislators. Senator Dunn also created a small working group that met regularly to develop proposals to increase funding for legal services in California.

20. In all his years as Executive Director of the State Bar, Senator Dunn received glowing performance reviews. All of Senator Dunn's formal performance reviews from 2011-2013 were positive leading the State Bar to award him substantial yearly bonuses in addition to his salary.

21. Senator Dunn's written employment agreement, initially set for a three year term, was renewed in 2013 for a subsequent three-year term through 2016. However,

GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC HISTORIC ENGINE CO. NO. 28 644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-341 

Senator Dunn was given notice of termination on November 7, 2014, just one year into his new term as Executive Director. The termination was "without cause."

22. As discussed below, Senator Dunn had learned of egregious improprieties being committed by BOT members, by Chief Trial Counsel Jayne Kim, and by Holden. When Senator Dunn raised these concerns with other whistleblowers, which called to task the State Bar's core functions and competencies, Senator Dunn was targeted by Holden who has attempted to cover up for the malfeasance that was reported. Holden initiated an "evaluation" of certain executive employees at the State Bar including Senator Dunn and tasked a private firm, outside of protocol, to investigate Senator Dunn and those executive employees.

Ethical Breaches – Unlawful Removal of Backlog

23. Senator Dunn was one of the whistleblowers within the State Bar who reported that Chief Trial Counsel Jayne Kim unlawfully removed backlog cases from the official reports released to the BOT and the public. This was done to benefit Ms. Kim in her upcoming evaluation and to fraudulently inflate the productivity of her office.

24. It was uncovered that at Ms. Kim's direction, internal reports were altered to unlawfully remove cases from the statutory backlog. Ms. Kim then issued false reports to the Regulation Admissions & Discipline ("RAD") Committee of the State Bar, the membership of the State Bar, the Legislature and the Governor, and the general public.

25. Ms. Kim's conduct did not involve a few isolated incidents but was shockingly rampant. In her reports to the RAD Committee on September 30, 2013, Ms. Kim unlawfully removed 269 cases from the internal reports. She then used the altered internal reports to prepare her official quarterly report to the RAD Committee and posted this information on the State Bar website for public consumption. The information in the public reports was false and misleading due to Ms. Kim's unlawful removal of cases from the backlog reports.

COMPLAINT

26. The State Bar server that houses the backlog and case reports left a digital footprint detailing the changes to the reports made at Ms. Kim's direction. The first run of the backlog reports shows the accurate number of backlogged files. However, the backlog report run two hours later removed a certain group of cases to artificially lower the number of backlog cases. The metadata on the State Bar server exposes the changes and Ms. Kim's misconduct. The reduction in the State Bar's backlog inventory was not due to increased productivity but rather due to Ms. Kim's removal of cases from the official backlog reports.

27. The California Bureau of State Audits is set to conduct its biannual audit of the State Bar in 2015. Rather than hold Ms. Kim and the OCTC accountable for its actions as Senator Dunn encouraged, the State Bar has terminated Senator Dunn and taken adverse actions against other whistleblowers for bringing this issue to their attention.

28. Upon hearing concerns from Senator Dunn about her performance, Ms. Kim attempted to preserve her position by filing a complaint against Senator Dunn. As detailed below, an evaluation of Senator Dunn was conducted at an exorbitant expense to the membership of the State Bar. Ms. Kim's complaint against Senator Dunn was made shortly after the annual review process for her was commenced, and was merely pretextual to avoid Senator Dunn's oversight, criticism, and review of her. To date, the State Bar has not provided a copy or summary of Ms. Kim's complaint to Senator Dunn. What we do know is the unilateral decision to terminate Senator Dunn was made "without cause."

Fiscal Improprieties

29. The BOT, upon receiving Ms. Kim's complaint, decided to conduct an "internal evaluation" of Senator Dunn and others and to retain a private firm for that purpose. This was despite the fact that a retired California Supreme Court Justice offered to do the same evaluation, pro bono. The private firm retained by the State Bar had close personal ties with BOT member Miriam Krinsky which ties were never fully disclosed prior to the firm's

retention. The retention of the private firm, in addition to being an utter waste of State Bar membership dues, violated State Bar protocol.

mem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

30. The retention of private counsel by the State Bar is a function of the State Bar's Office of General Counsel ("OGC"). The protocol for retaining a private firm is simple: if it is determined that the retention of a private firm is needed, the OGC is charged with selecting the appropriate firm based on experience and cost. The OGC protocol that is in place attempts to secure the retention of a private firm on financially feasible terms and to sift out potential conflicts of interest and cronyism with BOT members. Here, that process was blatantly ignored.

31. The selected firm was retained based on the recommendation of BOT member Miriam Krinsky. The OGC was never consulted in this process; rather, Holden decided the OGC was "conflicted out" from performing its functions. Furthermore, this private firm was retained at exorbitant rates. Three billing partners from the private firm that were put on the "evaluation" each billed in excess of \$800 per hour. The current billable hours for the services rendered by that private firm likely exceeds \$300,000.00.

32. BOT member Miriam Krinsky had a close personal and professional relationship with the private firm's lead billing partner who was assigned to lead the "evaluation." Board Member Krinsky and the lead partner of the private firm had a two-decade long relationship beginning when they were co-counsel at the United States Attorney's Office in the 1990s through 2010 when Ms. Krinsky shared office space with the lead partner while she was the Executive Director of the LA County Citizen's Commission in 2010. This was not disclosed to the BOT.

Legislative Compliance Failures

33. Senator Dunn has raised concerns that the State Bar BOT and Jayne Kim of the OCTC were not enforcing the provisions of Assembly Bill 1159.

27 28

34. Assembly Bill 1159 was passed with bipartisan support of the State Assembly and Senate. The bill was passed to enhance OCTC enforcement powers to prosecute both lawyer and "notario" fraud, a form of unauthorized practice of law ("UPL") that preys on California's large immigrant community.

35. The bill contained an urgency clause and was therefore effective the date it was signed into law in October 2013. At or around the same time Ms. Kim was unlawfully removing the backlog cases from her internal and public reports, she was also not prosecuting fraud cases pursuant to the legislative will. Ms. Kim's failure to comply with the legislative mandate was so egregious it prompted the author of AB 1159, Assemblywoman Lorena Gonzalez, to send a letter demanding answers concerning the lack of enforcement.

36. To date, the Legislature's questions about the enforcement of AB 1159 remain ignored.

Whistleblower Notice

37. On November 3, 2014, Senator Dunn and a group of other individuals employed by the State Bar, through their counsel Geragos & Geragos, APC, submitted an anonymous whistleblower complaint to the State Bar BOT outlining a number of the concerns addressed above. Thereafter, on November 5, 2014, the whistleblowers submitted another letter through counsel, providing further information and evidence of the improprieties outlined herein. Senator Dunn was identified by the BOT as one of the group of whistleblowers.

38. Senator Dunn was given notice of his termination on Friday, November 7, 2014 at 5:00 p.m. while he was giving a speech for the State Bar in San Francisco. Senator Dunn received no explanation as to the basis for his termination. Senator Dunn was also instructed by Holden that if he wanted to negotiate a severance agreement, he should not speak to the public or the press about what had taken place. To date, Senator Dunn has not

COMPLAINT

been afforded any opportunity to respond to the unilateral notice of his termination or any of the allegations that may have been made against him.

39. On November 10, 2014, some of the other whistleblowers that were responsible for providing information contained in the two whistleblower notices were targeted with various degrees of retaliation and discipline and believe they are in imminent jeopardy of being terminated. Like Senator Dunn, the other whistleblowers who have been loyal, dedicated, and high-level employees at the State Bar for many years received no explanation for the sudden unilateral retaliation against them by the State Bar.

40. It is with deep sadness and a heavy heart that Senator Dunn has been compelled to bring this action against the State Bar of California, an organization which he loyally served for four years. However, given the glaring injustices, unethical conduct, and massive cover-up that has crippled the State Bar's ability to function, this action has become necessary to restore the public trust and confidence in the State Bar, to restore the integrity of the organization, and to vindicate Senator Dunn's rights.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

WHISTLEBLOWER LIABILITY AND RETALIATION ---LABOR CODE SECTION 1102.5

Senator Dunn Against Defendant the State Bar of California

41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.

42. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an employee of the State Bar.

43. On November 3, 2014 and November 5, 2014, Plaintiff made whistleblower complaints to the State Bar as detailed above. Additionally, Plaintiff made numerous complaints to the State Bar's BOT about the willful failure of the Chief Trial Counsel Jayne Kim to prosecute UPL fraud which would protect the immigrant community.

-	1	1	-

44. Plaintiff was given notice of termination from his employment as Executive Director of the State Bar on November 7, 2014 because he was identified as a whistleblower and because Holden intended to cover up malfeasance in the State Bar and secure his own agenda in usurping executive authority within the State Bar.

45. The State Bar has ratified and condoned the acts and omissions of Defendant Holden, Jayne Kim, and Miriam Krinsky. Specifically, the State Bar has terminated Plaintiff because he reported the serious malfeasance, illegal conduct, and financial improprieties described above.

46. The termination of Plaintiff on account of his complaints about the unlawful conduct violates the fundamental public policy against retaliation of whistleblowers in this State and the protections afforded under Labor Code section 1102.5.

47. As a result of the unlawful treatment of Plaintiff which culminated in his termination, Plaintiff suffered damages.

48. Defendants are subject to civil penalties and assessment under Labor Code section 1102.5.

49. Based on the unlawful acts taken by Defendants, Plaintiff has been deprived of his position as Executive Director of the State Bar. Pursuant to Labor Code section 1102.5, Plaintiff Senator Dunn seeks his immediate reinstatement as Executive Director of the State Bar, or alternatively, for the Court to issue an Order to Show Cause regarding why Senator Dunn should not be immediately reinstated based on the unlawful termination by the State Bar.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

Senator Dunn Against Defendant Craig Holden and Board of Trustee ROES

50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

51. At all times, Defendant Holden owed a fiduciary duty to the State Bar, Plaintiff as Executive Director of the State Bar, to DOE Whistleblowers 1-7, and to the public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant Holden breached his fiduciary obligations by engaging in the 52. conduct described above, including but not limited to, authorizing the out-of-protocol and conflicted retention of a private firm, condoning and attempting to cover up the unlawful removal of backlogged State Bar complaints, failing to implement legislation, and terminating Plaintiff and the DOE whistleblowers for reporting the illegal, improper, and unethical conduct described above.

As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has been 53. injured, in an amount according to proof at trial.

54. Defendants' conduct was wanton, willful, and malicious giving rise to punitive damages in favor of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request judgment against the Defendants as follows:

On the First Cause of Action:

1. For general and special damages in an amount according to proof at trial;

2. For pre- and post-judgment interest according to proof;

3. For costs of suit incurred herein;

4. For attorneys' fees;

5. For damages and fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;

6. For injunctive relief reinstating Plaintiff as Executive Director of the State Bar or, alternatively, for an Order to Show Cause why Senator Dunn (Ret.) should not be immediately reinstated based on the unlawful termination and retaliation by the State Bar; and

7. For all other relief as this Court may deem proper.

- 13 -

	1	On the Second Cause of Action:				
GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC HISTORIC ENGINE CO. No. 28 HISTORIC ENGINE CO. No. 28 644 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET LLOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-3411 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	2	1. For general and special damages in an amount according to proof at trial;				
	3	2. For pre- and post-judgment interest according to proof;				
	4	3. For exemplary damages against Defendants;				
	5	4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and				
	6	5. For all other relief as this Court may deem proper.				
	7					
	8	Respectfully submitted,				
	9	DATED: November 13, 2014 GERAGOS & GERAGOS, APC				
	10					
	0 4					
		By: <u>(UMARKJ. GERAGOS</u>				
		BEN J. MEISELAS Attorneys for Plaintiff SENATOR JOSEPH DUNN (Ret.)				
	4 15	SENATOR JOSEPH DUNIN (Rel.)				
	17	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
	18	Plaintiff Senator Dunn (Ret.) hereby demands a jury trial.				
	19	r famun Senator Dum (Ret.) nereby demands a jury triai.				
	20					
	21	DATED: November 13, 2014 GERAGOS GERAGOS, APC				
	22					
	23	By: MARK /. GERAGOS				
	24	BEN L/MEISELAS				
	25	Attorneys for Plaintiff SENATOR JOSEPH DUNN (Ret.)				
	26					
	27	- 14 -				
	28	COMPLAINT				